



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,424	01/27/2004	Douglas F. Beaven	beaven01.002	1530
25247	7590	03/10/2006	EXAMINER	
GORDON E NELSON PATENT ATTORNEY, PC 57 CENTRAL ST PO BOX 782 ROWLEY, MA 01969			KRISCIUNAS, LINDA MARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/765,424	Applicant(s) BEAVEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Linda Krisciunas	Art Unit 3623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13, 24-25 and 28-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13, 24, 25, 28 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on January 30, 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is a FINAL Office Action in response to the applicant's response received January 30, 2006. A new Examiner has been assigned to this application: Linda Krisciunas. Claims 1-4, 13, 24-25, and 28-29 were amended. Claims 12, 14-23, 26-27 and 30-36 were canceled. Claims 1-11, 13, 24-25 and 28-29 are pending.

Response to Amendments

2. The Examiner notes the changes to the drawings, specification and abstract. These objections are withdrawn.

The Examiner also notes the cancellation of claim 34 and this objection is withdrawn.

The Examiner notes the change in claim language from perceive to observe in claims 1, 3-4, 13, and 25. Claim 24 has not been updated. The term observe is still subjective and non-measurable and therefore render the claims indefinite. The rejection still stands.

Response to Arguments

3. The Examiner has fully considered the amendments to the claims and they have been addressed in the rejections below.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Art Unit: 3623

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-7 and 10 are provisionally rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 187, 189-190, and 192-196, respectively, of copending Application No. 09/312,740. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claim 1 of application 10/765,424 lists multiple hierarchies whereas claim 187 of application 09/312,740 lists hierarchies, but does not expand into multiple hierarchies. The term multiple hierarchies is obvious since the term hierarchies is already taught and a hierarchy contains multiple branching which can be viewed to be one hierarchy or multiple hierarchies.

Claim 2 of application 10/765,424 lists representation of a model entity includes representations of the information and claim 189 of application 09/312,740 lists the same. Claim 2 and 189 are dependent on 1 and 187 respectively. The claims use the same language and are therefore equivalent.

Claim 3 of application 10/765,424 lists a collaborator whereas claim 190 of application 09/312,740 lists a person. A collaborator is a person, therefore the use of a collaborator is obvious in view of the prior teaching of a person.

Claim 4 of application 10/765,424 lists a collaborator whereas claim 192 of application 09/312,740 lists a person. A collaborator is a person, therefore the use of a collaborator is obvious in view of the prior teaching of a person.

Claim 5 of application 10/765,424 lists a collaborator whereas claim 193 of application 09/312,740 lists a person. A collaborator is a person, therefore the use of a collaborator is obvious in view of the prior teaching of a person.

Claim 6 of application 10/765,424 lists the information is a document, claim 194 of application 09/312,740 lists the same. The claims use the same language and are therefore equivalent.

Claim 7 of application 10/765,424 lists a collaborator whereas claim 195 of application 09/312,740 lists a person. A collaborator is a person, therefore the use of a collaborator is obvious in view of the prior teaching of a person.

Claim 10 of application 10/765,424 lists a collaborator whereas claim 196 of application 09/312,740 lists a person. A collaborator is a person, therefore the use of a collaborator is obvious in view of the prior teaching of a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1, 3-4, 13, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "observe" and "perceive" are relative terms which renders the claims indefinite. The specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably appraised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3623

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-11, 13, 24-25 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knoth (Knoth, "Tools for a Collaborative World, Computer-Aided Engineering, April 1997).

As per claim 1, Knoth teaches a processor which has access to a representation of a model of the activity, the model including model entities, the model entities being in hierarchical relationships one with another, the hierarchical relationships including a first hierarchy and a second hierarchy, the first hierarchy neither containing nor being contained in the second hierarchy, the second hierarchy including a model entity that also belongs to the first hierarchy, and the representation including representations of the model entities and of the hierarchical relationships, the form of the model being defined by the collaborators and the representations of the model entities providing access to information relating to the activity((Para 7-9 and 14-16, Knoth teaches holding a conference over the Internet in real time is a concept the whole design team can partake in the comfort of their own offices. With CAD conferencing software that works over the Internet, team members can see 3D designs posted and manipulated on-line in real time or by using a Web browser such as Netscape Navigator. Over the Internet, participants in the conference are supplied with various viewing and navigational tools through the simple and easy-to-use interface of the product that resembles a flight

navigational panel. To start a conference, the conference coordinator executes LOOK>>IN on a UNIX workstation and loads a CAD file in a native CAD file format onto the server. As participants connect to the server, the 3D model appears on their monitors in the built-in interface of LOOK>>IN. Participants watch the changes being made as the coordinator zooms in, rotates, and sketches a markup of the model on the screen. Control of the screen can be switched between the coordinator and the participants. The concept of shared workspace has the potential to revolutionize the way design teams collaborate on projects. It creates easy and simultaneous access to a common space where multiple users are able to work on a design at the same time. A shared-workspace environment enables engineers to collaborate with each other and access a central database with information on what everyone else is doing on the project. The Examiner interprets a processor is enclosed in the computer systems used that allow access to information (CAD file) that represents the 3D design (model). Hierarchical relationships can be noted in the figure on page 47. CAD programs such as those noted (Autodesk, Pro/Engineer etc) are known to contain hierarchy trees for components and subassemblies that are structures in a hierarchical relationship. Components in one subassembly may be used in multiple subassemblies and would therefore be part of various hierarchies, while those hierarchies remain distinct from each other.); and an interface to the system for the collaborators, the interface being provided by the processor and the interface permitting a collaborator to observe and modify the model entities and their hierarchical relationships and to observe and modify the information to which the representation of the model entities provide access (Para 7-

9 and 14-16, Knoth teaches holding a conference over the Internet in real time is a concept the whole design team can partake in the comfort of their own offices. With CAD conferencing software that works over the Internet, team members can see 3D designs posted and manipulated on-line in real time or by using a Web browser such as Netscape Navigator. Over the Internet, participants in the conference are supplied with various viewing and navigational tools through the simple and easy-to-use interface of the product that resembles a flight navigational panel. To start a conference, the conference coordinator executes LOOK>>IN on a UNIX workstation and loads a CAD file in a native CAD file format onto the server. As participants connect to the server, the 3D model appears on their monitors in the built-in interface of LOOK>>IN.

Participants watch the changes being made as the coordinator zooms in, rotates, and sketches a markup of the model on the screen. Control of the screen can be switched between the coordinator and the participants. The concept of shared workspace has the potential to revolutionize the way design teams collaborate on projects. It creates easy and simultaneous access to a common space where multiple users are able to work on a design at the same time. A shared-workspace environment enables engineers to collaborate with each other and access a central database with information on what everyone else is doing on the project. The Examiner interprets a processor is enclosed in the computer systems used that allow access to information (CAD file) that represents the 3D design (model).).

As per claim 2, Knoth teaches the representation of the model entity includes representations of the information (Para 9 and 14, Knoth teaches as participants

Art Unit: 3623

connect to the server, the 3D model appears on their monitors in the built-in interface of LOOK>>IN. With this technology, multiple engineers at various locations can discuss data and alter the same CAD design through the network – either over the Internet or through an internal network – simultaneously.).

As per claim 3, Knoth teaches the interface further permits a collaborator to observe the model entities as sorted according to values of the included representations of the information (Para 21, Knoth teaches through a simple graphical interface, the software prompts the user with attributes of parts that are stored in a database of classes and subclasses. As the user clicks on the appropriate attributes, the system narrows down prompts until the correct part is either selected or the user is notified that the part doesn't exist. The examiner interprets attributes to be values and the process of narrowing down to be sorting.).

As per claim 4, Knoth teaches the model further includes representations of further information (Para 22, Knoth teaches in addition to locating part information, the product tracks all aspects of the design process – such as file and job information, 3D previewing of IGES and STL data, and viewing of 2D information on a Whiteboard – all through the Netscape Navigator interface.); and the interface permits the collaborator to observe how the further information is related to the model entity and to observe the further information (Para 22, Knoth teaches in addition to locating part information, the product tracks all aspects of the design process – such as file and job information, 3D previewing of IGES and STL data, and viewing of 2D information on a Whiteboard – all through the Netscape Navigator interface. A hierarchical job tree displays the status of

Art Unit: 3623

all jobs and files; a job log keeps track of every activity – files that were uploaded, downloaded, previewed, updated, transitions from a “new” job to “in queue,” “in process,” or “complete”; and a screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth. The examiner interprets the multi-screen display allows the collaborator to observe how the information is related.).

As per claim 5, Knoth teaches the interface further permits the collaborator to modify the further information (Para 7-8, 15-16 and 22, Knoth teaches holding a conference over the Internet in real time is a concept the whole design team can partake in the comfort of their own offices. With CAD conferencing software that works over the Internet, team members can see 3D designs posted and manipulated on-line in real time or by using a Web browser such as Netscape Navigator. Over the Internet, participants in the conference are supplied with various viewing and navigational tools through the simple and easy-to-use interface of the product that resembles a flight navigational panel. It also created easy and simultaneous access to a common space where multiple users are able to work on a design at the same time. A shared-workspace environment enables engineers to collaborate with each other and access a central database with information on what everyone else is doing on the project. A hierarchical job tree displays the status of all jobs and files; a job log keeps track of every activity – files that were uploaded, downloaded, previewed, updated, transitions from a “new” job to “in queue,” “in process,” or “complete”; and a screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth.).

As per claim 6, Knoth teaches the further information is a document that is accessible to the system (Para 22, Knoth teaches a hierarchical job tree displays the status of all jobs and files; a job log keeps track of every activity – files that were uploaded, downloaded, previewed, updated, transitions from a “new” job to “in queue,” “in process,” or “complete”; and a screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth. The examiner interprets files to be documents.).

As per claim 7, Knoth teaches the further information is a message sent to the collaborator by another collaborator (Para 22, Knoth teaches a screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth.).

As per claim 8, Knoth teaches the further information is an alert that indicates a change in the model that is relevant to the collaborator (Para 22, Knoth teaches a hierarchical job tree displays the status of all jobs and files; a job log keeps track of every activity – files that were uploaded, downloaded, previewed, updated, transitions from a “new” job to “in queue,” “in process,” or “complete”. The examiner interprets displaying a status to be “alert”).

As per claim 9, Knoth teaches the further information is a reminder generated by the system for the collaborator (Para 21, Knoth teaches that through a simple graphical interface, the software prompts the user with attributes of parts that are stored in a database of classes and subclasses.).

As per claim 10, Knoth teaches the further information is a discussion concerning the model among the collaborators (Para 13 and 22, Knoth teaches on the screen, in separate Windows, are live video and audio pictures of all the other team members,

making a truly interactive environment. Each person is assigned a unique cursor such as a star or squiggle that identifies their remarks and differentiates them for the others. A screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth.).

As per claim 11, Knoth teaches the representation of the collaborator-defined model of the activity permits the model to be viewed in a plurality of ways (Para 7-9, Knoth teaches that with CAD conferencing software that works over the Internet, team members can see 3D designs posted and manipulated on-line in real time or by using a Web browser such as Netscape Navigator. Over the Internet, participants in the conference are supplied with various viewing and navigational tools through the simple and easy-to-use interface of the product that resembles a flight navigational panel. To start a conference, the conference coordinator executes LOOK>>IN on a UNIX workstation and loads a CAD file in a native CAD file format onto the server. As participants connect to the server, the 3D model appears on their monitors in the built-in interface of LOOK>>IN. Participants watch the changes being made as the coordinator zooms in, rotates, and sketches a markup of the model on the screen.); and the interface permits the model to be viewed according to the plurality of ways (Para 7-9, Knoth teaches that with CAD conferencing software that works over the Internet, team members can see 3D designs posted and manipulated on-line in real time or by using a Web browser such as Netscape Navigator. Over the Internet, participants in the conference are supplied with various viewing and navigational tools through the simple and easy-to-use interface of the product that resembles a flight navigational panel.).

As per claim 13, Knoth teaches there is a plurality of types of model entities (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENGINEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser. The examiner interprets text, graphics, parts list, and instructions to be a plurality of types of model entities.); a representation of a model entity specifies the represented model entity's type (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENGINEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser. The examiner interprets formats, i.e., HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG, specifies the represented model entity's type.); and the interface permits the collaborator to observe the type of a model entity (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENGINEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and

automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser.).

As per claim 24, Knoth teaches there is a plurality of types of model entities (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENIGNEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser. The examiner interprets text, graphics, parts list, and instructions to be a plurality of types of model entities.); a representation of a model entity specifies the represented model entity's type (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENIGNEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser. The examiner interprets formats, i.e., HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG, specifies the represented model entity's type.); and the interface permits the collaborator to perceive the type of a model entity (p. 47 "Engineering Publishing" and Figure "Baring it all", Knoth teaches Pro/WEB PUBLISH is

Art Unit: 3623

a Web interface that supports the export of Pro/ENGINEER assembly process plans and assemblies to standard Web pages using HTML, VRML, CGM, and JPEG formats and Java applets. It basically takes all of the information from the drawing and automatically formats the text, graphics, parts list, and instructions required for method sheets, enabling users to view the data over the Web or intranets with any standard browser.); and a related representation of further information may be related to a representation of a model entity of any type (Para 22, Knoth in addition to locating part information, the product tracks tracking all aspects of the design process – such as file and job information, 3D previewing of IGES and STL data, and viewing of 2D information on a Whiteboard – all through the Netscape Navigator interface. A hierarchical job tree displays the status of all jobs and files; a job log keeps track of every activity – files that were uploaded, downloaded, previewed, updated, transitions from a “new” job to “in queue,” “in process,” or “complete”; and a screen shows all the message correspondence back and forth. The examiner interprets the multi-screen display allows the collaborator to observe how the information is related.).

As per claim 25, Knoth teaches the collaborators have different access privileges with regard to particular ones of the model entities (Para 15, Knoth teaches controlled connections between users over the Internets and intranets. Authorization tools give certain users access to the designs and the right to read, modify, and use different parts of the product.); and the interface determines what a collaborator observes of the model entities according to the collaborator's access privileges (Para 15, Knoth teaches

authorization tools give certain users access to the designs and the right to read, modify, and use different parts of the product.).

As per claim 28, Knoth teaches a plurality of the first hierarchies, one first hierarchy of the plurality neither containing nor being contained in another first hierarchy of the plurality; and the second hierarchy includes model entities from different ones of the first hierarchies (Hierarchical relationships can be noted in the figure on page 47. CAD programs such as those noted (Autodesk, Pro/Engineer etc) are known to contain hierarchy trees for components and subassemblies that are structures in a hierarchical relationship. Components in one subassembly may be used in multiple subassemblies and would therefore be part of various hierarchies, while those hierarchies remain distinct from each other.).

As per claim 29, Knoth teaches there is a plurality of the second hierarchies, one second hierarchy of the plurality neither containing nor being contained in another second hierarchy of the plurality; and a model entity may belong to more than one of the second hierarchies (Hierarchical relationships can be noted in the figure on page 47. CAD programs such as those noted (Autodesk, Pro/Engineer etc) are known to contain hierarchy trees for components and subassemblies that are structures in a hierarchical relationship. Components in one subassembly may be used in multiple subassemblies and would therefore be part of various hierarchies, while those hierarchies remain distinct from each other.).

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linda Krisciunas whose telephone number is 571-272-6931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMK



February 27, 2006



TARIQ R. HAFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600